Prior to the consideration of business, the Chairperson asked members if they had an interest in any of the applications to be discussed or if they were associated with a person who had a personal interest in the applications to be considered by the Committee.

No declarations of interest were made.

At the outset of the meeting, the Committee was introduced to Andrew Robertson OBE, who will act Chair the PPC in succession to Charles Scott on a temporary basis.

1. **APOLOGIES**

   Apologies were received from Dr Johnson.

2. **MINUTES**

   The Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 22 January 2004 PPC[M]2004/01 were approved as a correct record.

3. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS NOT INCLUDED IN AGENDA**

   There was no other business not already included on the Agenda.
Section 1 – Applications Under Regulation 5 (10)

4. APPLICATION FOR INCLUSION IN THE BOARD’S PHARMACEUTICAL LIST

i) Case No: PPC/INCL/02/2004
Carol Ann Burns, Unit 3, 275 Hamilton Road, Halfway, Glasgow, G72 7PH.

I. The Committee was asked to consider an application submitted by Carol Ann Burns to provide general pharmaceutical services from premises situated Unit 3, 275 Hamilton Road, Halfway, Glasgow, G72 7PH under Regulation 5(2) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended.

II. The Committee had to determine whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the applicant’s proposed premises were located.

III. The Committee, having previously been circulated with all the papers regarding the application from Carol Ann Burns, were satisfied that the application could be determined based on the written representations and that an oral hearing was not required.

IV. The Committee members had individually made site visits to the site at Unit 3, 275 Hamilton Road, Halfway, Glasgow, G72 7PH

V. The Committee considered views and representations received from:

a) Chemist Contractors within the vicinity of the applicant’s premises, namely;

   Martin Phypers, Moss Pharmacy, Fern House, 53 – 55 High Street, Feltham, Middlesex, TW13 4HU;

b) Shirley Gordon, Greater Glasgow NHS Board, Area Pharmaceutical Committee, Dalian House, PO Box 15329, 350 St Vincent Street, Glasgow, G3 8YZ;

c) Barbara West, Medical Secretary, Greater Glasgow Area Medical Committee GP Subcommittee, 40 New City Road, Glasgow, G4 9JT;

d) Gordon Morrison, Divisional Engineer, South Lanarkshire Council, Roads & Transportation Services, 380 King Street, Rutherglen, Glasgow, G73 1DQ.
VI. The Committee also considered:

e) The location of the nearest existing pharmaceutical services;

f) The location and level of general medical services in the area;

g) Demographic information regarding post code sectors G72 7;

h) Patterns of public transport and

i) Greater Glasgow NHS Board plans for future development of services.

CONCLUSION

VII. In considering this application, the Committee was required to take into account all relevant factors concerning the definition of the neighbourhood served and adequacy of the existing pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in the context of Regulation 5(10).

VIII. The neighbourhood was agreed to comprise the area bound to the North by Newton railway line, to the South by Gilbertfield Road, to the East by Dalton Road, and to the West by Howieshill Road and Speirsbridge Road,

IX. The Committee then considered the adequacy of existing pharmaceutical services in the defined neighbourhood and whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable in order to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in that neighbourhood.

X. The Committee noted that three pharmacies were located within postcode area G72 7, one pharmacy being within the defined neighbourhood.

XI. Members noted that there had been three previous applications for a new pharmaceutical contract in this area, the most recent had been considered on 20 November 2003 and concerned premises at 197 Hamilton Road, Halfway, Cambuslang. The application had been rejected by the PPC. On that occasion, the Committee being satisfied that the existing pharmaceutical network continued to provide an adequate service to the neighbourhood population. The Committee were satisfied that neither the applicant, nor any other interested party had demonstrated that this situation had changed since the last application was considered. They therefore, did not agree that the granting of the application was necessary.

XII. The Committee considered the applicants comments on the development of housing in the area. The Committee were aware that housing developments had been ongoing since 2002 and were satisfied that this issue had already been taken into consideration when they determined previous applications. They did not consider that a significant change had taken place since considering the last application in November 2003. In considering the types
of housing being built, they felt that the housing was likely to be bought by residents who were also car owners. They also noted the housing is of a high specification and there is not a high elderly population.

XIII. The Committee noted the general point that the Board had received no complaints from patients regarding problems with the provision of pharmaceutical services in the area. The Committee also noted the additional information supplied by the Applicant in support of the application.

XIV. In summary, the Committee concluded that the granting of an additional NHS contract for the premises situated at 275 Hamilton Road, was not necessary or desirable in order to secure the adequate provisions of pharmaceutical services in the area in which the premises were situated as there had been no complaints from patients regarding the provision of pharmaceutical services in the area. The Committee also felt that no significant change had taken place in the housing in the area since the Committee had considered the last application in November 2003 and that they had considered the development of housing into consideration when they considered the previous application in 2003. The Committee also felt the application not necessary or desirable as there are 3 pharmacies within postcode area G72 7 and another pharmacy located in the defined neighbourhood. These pharmacies offer Supervised Methadone Administration and Domiciliary Oxygen Therapy Services, Advice to Nursing Homes and Needle Exchange. The Committee considered that the pharmaceutical services within the neighbourhood to be adequate and there was no evidence or complaints about pharmaceutical services to suggest a sufficient need or desirability to justify the granting of an additional NHS contract.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes, Colin Fergusson and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED:-

In a unanimous decision, the Committee agreed that the granting of the application was neither necessary or desirable, to secure the adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood of the proposed premises and accordingly that the application seeking inclusion in the Greater Glasgow NHS Board’s Pharmaceutical List at Unit 3, 275 Hamilton Road, Halfway, Glasgow, G72 7PH for the provision of general pharmaceutical services be refused as three pharmacies existed within postcode area G72 7 and one pharmacy within the defined neighbourhood. These pharmacies offer Supervised Methadone Administration and Domiciliary Oxygen Therapy Services, Advice to Nursing Homes and Needle Exchange. The Committee considered that the pharmaceutical services within the neighbourhood to be adequate and there was no evidence or complaints about pharmaceutical services to suggest a sufficient need or desirability to justify the granting of an additional NHS contract.
The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.

ii) Case No: PPC/INCL/03/2004
Mark Godden, Baxter Healthcare Ltd, t/a Unicare, 44 Nurseries Road, Glasgow, G69 6UL. Application not deemed minor to Clinovia Ltd, 2A Burntbroom Court, Shotts Street, Queenslie Industrial Estate, Glasgow, G33 4JB

XV. The Committee was asked to consider an application submitted by Baxter Healthcare Ltd, to provide general pharmaceutical services from premises situated at Clinovia Ltd, 2A Burntbroom Court, Shotts Street, Queenslie Industrial Estate, Glasgow, G33 4JB under Regulation 5(2) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended.

XVI. The Committee had to determine whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the applicant's proposed premises were located.

XVII. The Committee, having previously been circulated with all the papers regarding the application from Baxter Healthcare Ltd, were satisfied that the application could be determined based on the written representations and that an oral hearing was not required.

XVIII. The Committee members had individually made visits to the site at Clinovia Ltd, 2A Burntbroom Court, Shotts Street, Queenslie Industrial Estate, Glasgow, G33 4JB

XIX. The Committee considered views and representations received from:

a) Chemist contractors within the vicinity of the applicant's premises, namely:
   Karan Crabtree, NHS Contracts Assistant, Lloyds Pharmacy, Sapphire Court, Walsgrave Triangle, Coventry, CV2 2TX;

b) Barbara West, Medical Secretary, Greater Glasgow Health Board, Area Medical Committee, GP Subcommittee, 40 New City Road, Glasgow, G4 9JT;

c) Robert Thomson, Roads Operations Manager, Glasgow City Council, Land Services Department, Richmond Exchange, 20 Cadogan Street, Glasgow, G2 7AD.

XX. The Committee also considered:

d) The location of the nearest existing pharmaceutical services;

e) The location and level of general medical services in the area;
f) Demographic information regarding post code sectors G33 4 and G34 9;

g) Patterns of public transport and

h) Greater Glasgow NHS Board plans for future development of services.

CONCLUSION

XXI. The Committee noted that Baxter Healthcare Ltd had previously applied to the Board for a minor relocation which was rejected. Baxter Healthcare Ltd were then advised to apply to the Board for relocation (not deemed minor) on Form A.

XXII. The Committee were provided with background information on the nature of the specialist appliance service provided by Baxter Healthcare Ltd. They noted that although Baxter Healthcare Ltd provide a specialist service to a specific element of the population, they hold a full pharmaceutical contract with the Board.

XXIII. The Director of Pharmacy gave a brief summary for the benefit of the Chair, of the measures that had been taken by Clinovia to date to secure means that would allow them to continue providing a service to those of its patients whose treatment was funded through general practice.

XXIV. The Committee noted that no objections had been received from other pharmacists within the one mile radius. The Committee felt that this could possibly be due to the fact that the local pharmacies around the proposed premise, do not offer the same specialist service provided by the Applicant.

XXV. The Committee also noted that if granted, the applicant intended to provide pharmaceutical services outwith the hours specified in the Board’s Model Hours Scheme.

XXVI. In considering this application, the Committee was required to take into account all relevant factors concerning the definition of the neighbourhood served and adequacy of the existing pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in the context of Regulation 5(10).

XXVII. Members defined the neighbourhood as the area bound to the North by the M8 Motorway, to the East by Wellhouse Road, to the South by Edinburgh Road and the West by Stepps Road.

XXVIII. The Committee then considered the adequacy of existing pharmaceutical services in the defined neighbourhood and whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable in order to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in that neighbourhood.

XXIX. The Committee noted that there was one pharmacy within postcode area G33 4, however this pharmacy was not located within the neighbourhood
In summary, the Committee concluded that the granting of the application for relocation (not deemed minor) was both necessary and desirable in order to secure the adequate provisions of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the premises were situated as Baxter Healthcare provided a specialist service which was not provided by other pharmaceutical contractors in the area. The Committee also felt that the application would be desirable as Baxter Healthcare Ltd offer a specialist service that no other contractors in the area provided. The Committee also noted that no other pharmacies were located within the defined neighbourhood.

**In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes, Colin Fergusson and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.**

**DECIDED/-**

In a unanimous decision the Committee agreed that the granting of the application was necessary and desirable, to secure the adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood of the proposed premises and accordingly that the application seeking inclusion in the Greater Glasgow NHS Board’s Pharmaceutical List at Clinovia Ltd, 2A Burntbroom Court, Shotts Street, Queenslie Industrial Estate, Glasgow, G33 4JB for the provision of general pharmaceutical services be granted to provide services outwith those specified in the Model Hours of Service Scheme.

The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.

**iii) Case No: PPC/INCL/04/2004**

M & D Green Dispensing Chemist, 80 Dumbarton Road, Duntocher, West Dunbartonshire, G81 1UG.

**XXXI.** The Committee was asked to consider an application submitted by M & D Green Dispensing Chemist Ltd to provide general pharmaceutical services from premises situated 80 Dumbarton Road, Duntocher, West Dunbartonshire, G81 1UG under Regulation 5(2) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended.

**XXXII.** The Committee had to determine whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the applicant’s proposed premises were located.

**XXXIII.** The Committee, having previously been circulated with all the papers regarding the application from M & D Green Dispensing Chemist Ltd, were satisfied that the application could be determined based on the written representations and that an oral hearing was not required.
XXXIV. The Committee members had individually made visits to the site at 80 Dumbarton Road, Duntocher, West Dunbartonshire, G81 1UG.

XXXV. The Committee considered views and representations received from:

a) Chemist Contractors within the vicinity of the applicant’s premises, namely;

i) John McLaren, Clan Chemists Ltd, Hardgate Cross, Clydebank, Dunbartonshire, G81 5NZ;

ii) Stuart McColl, Stuart McColl Chemist, 142 Duntocher Road, Clydebank, G81 3NQ.

b) Shirley Gordon, Greater Glasgow NHS Board, Area Pharmaceutical Committee, Dalian House, PO Box 15329, 350 St Vincent Street, Glasgow, G3 8YZ;

c) Barbara West, Medical Secretary, Greater Glasgow Area Medical Committee GP Subcommittee, 40 New City Road, Glasgow, G4 9JT.

XXXVI. The Committee also considered:

d) The location of the nearest existing pharmaceutical services;

e) The location and level of general medical services in the area;

f) Demographic information regarding post code sectors G81 1, G81 3 and G81 5;

g) Patterns of public transport and

h) Greater Glasgow NHS Board plans for future development of services.

CONCLUSION

XXXVII In considering this application, the Committee was required to take into account all relevant factors concerning the definition of the neighbourhood served and adequacy of the existing pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in the context of Regulation 5(10).

XXXVIII. Members defined the neighbourhood as beginning West from Carleith primary School along Great Western Road, bound to the East by Kilbowie Road through the West of Hardgate Cross roundabout and continuing up Cochno Road and to the North on the outskirts of the residential housing before the series of waterfalls.

XXXIX. Members considered the Applicant’s response to written representations received and agreed with his assertion that the proposed premise should
not be considered to be within the Clydebank area, but was in fact Duntocher. This was clearly indicated from a map by the very busy junction at Hardgate. The members considered that this junction and busy road were in fact barriers to pedestrians and separated the area from that commonly known as Clydebank.

XL. The Committee then considered the adequacy of existing pharmaceutical services in the defined neighbourhood and whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable in order to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in that neighbourhood.

XLI. The Committee noted that two pharmacies were located within postcode area G81, but that no pharmacies were located within the defined neighbourhood.

XLII. The Committee noted that there were no GP surgeries located within these postcode areas. They did not however, consider that this had any significant bearing on the Applicant's case. The Committee asserted that the dispensing of prescriptions was only one area of pharmaceutical activity. It was generally recognised that the role of the pharmacist had expanded beyond that of dispensing.

XLIII. The Committee noted that there is capacity to develop Supervised Methadone Administration and Needle Exchange services in this area and were made aware that the Applicant provides these services from his other pharmacies.

XLIV. The Committee considered socio-economic factors in the area and were made aware that much of the housing is council housing and few residents are car owners.

XLV. Members agreed that for residents to the East of Hardgate Cross, there is a considerable walk on an incline to attend Clan Chemist at Hardgate Cross.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes, Colin Fergusson and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED/

In a unanimous decision, the Committee agreed that the granting of the application was desirable, though not necessary, to secure the adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood of the proposed premises and accordingly that the application seeking inclusion in the Greater Glasgow NHS Board's Pharmaceutical List at 80 Dumbarton Road, Duntocher, West Dunbartonshire, G81 1UG for the provision of general pharmaceutical services be granted as the pharmacy would serve the area of Duntocher and would not have a detrimental effect on the pharmacy located at Hardgate Cross in Clydebank. They also considered that the application be desirable as there were no other pharmacies located within the defined neighbourhood and the pharmacy users would find the new
pharmacy easily accessible without the barrier of the busy road and junction located at Hardgate Cross.

**The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.**

iv) **Case No: PPC/INCL/05/2004**

Ross Hugh Ferguson, 6 Campsie Road, Milton of Campsie, Glasgow, G66 8EA.

**XLVI.** The Committee was asked to consider an application submitted by Ross Hugh Ferguson to provide general pharmaceutical services from premises situated at 6 Campsie Road, Milton of Campsie, Glasgow, G66 8EA under Regulation 5(2) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended.

**XLVII.** The Committee had to determine whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the applicant’s proposed premises were located.

**XLVIII.** The Committee, having previously been circulated with all the papers regarding the application from Ross Hugh Ferguson, were satisfied that the application could be determined based on the written representations and that an oral hearing was not required.

**XLIX.** The Committee members had individually made visits to the site at 6 Campsie Road, Milton of Campsie, Glasgow, G66 8EA

**L.** The Committee considered views and representations received from:

a) Chemist Contractors included in Lanarkshire Health Board’s Pharmaceutical List who were located in the vicinity of the applicant’s premises, namely;

National Co-operative Chemists Ltd, based within the Lanarkshire Primary Care NHS Board area.

b) Shirley Gordon, Greater Glasgow NHS Board, Area Pharmaceutical Committee, Dalian House, PO Box 15329, 350 St Vincent Street, Glasgow, G3 8YZ;

c) Barbara West, Medical Secretary, Greater Glasgow Area Medical Committee GP Subcommittee, 40 New City Road, Glasgow, G4 9JT;

d) Mrs P Conway, Lanarkshire Primary Care Trust, Area Pharmaceutical Committee, 14 Beckford Street, Hamilton, ML3 0TA.

The Committee considered an unsolicited response in support of the application received from:
e) Councillor Charles Kennedy, East Dunbartonshire Council, Tom Johnston House, Civic Way, Kirkintilloch, Glasgow, G66 4TJ.

**LI.** The Committee also considered:

f) The location of the nearest existing pharmaceutical services;

g) The location and level of general medical services in the area;

h) Demographic information regarding post code sector G66 8;

i) Patterns of public transport and

j) Greater Glasgow NHS Board plans for future development of services.

**CONCLUSION**

**LII.** In Considering this application, the Committee was required to take into account all relevant factors concerning the definition of the neighbourhood served and adequacy of the existing pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in the context of Regulation 5(10).

**LIII.** The neighbourhood was agreed to encompass a wide area bound to the North by a series of dams and woods, East by Antermony Loch and West by Green space. The Committee agreed that this neighbourhood was identified as the area commonly known as Milton of Campsie.

**LIV.** The Committee considered that the proposed premise was situated at the centre of this neighbourhood.

**LV.** The Committee then considered the adequacy of existing pharmaceutical services in the defined neighbourhood and whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable in order to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in that neighbourhood.

**LVI.** The Committee noted that there were no pharmacies within the one mile radius circle of the proposed premise or within the defined neighbourhood.

**LVII.** Patients wishing to access pharmaceutical services were required to travel to either Kirkintilloch or Lennoxtown.

**LVIII.** The Committee noted that a previous application for this area was granted to Martin J Green in 29 May 1996 however, the pharmacy failed to open and was subsequently removed from the Board’s Provisional List.

**LIX.** The Committee were aware that this area had a growing population and that there have been considerable changes in the population since the last
The Committee also noted in the additional information provided by the Applicant, that over 420 supporters from the area had expressed their support for the application at a public meeting addressed by Councillor Charles Kennedy J. P. The Committee did however qualify that other items of interest had been discussed at the meeting.

The Committee agreed that the area defined as Milton of Campsie was one with a growing population which did not, at present enjoy access to pharmaceutical services. The lack of a formal collection and delivery service required residents to travel outwith the area for their extended pharmaceutical needs. In the Committee’s opinion the granting of this application was necessary. The Committee noted that no pharmacies were located within postcode area G66 8, or indeed within a one mile radius circle of the proposed premise.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED/-

That the granting of the application was necessary to secure the adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood of the proposed premises and accordingly that the application seeking inclusion in the Primary Care NHS Board’s Pharmaceutical List at 6 Campsie Road, Milton of Campsie, Glasgow, G66 8EA for the provision of general pharmaceutical services be granted.

The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.

v) Case No: PPC/INCL/08/2004
Rajinder Singh, 81 St George’s Road, Charing Cross, Glasgow, G3 6JA.

The Committee was asked to consider an application submitted by Rajindar Singh to provide general pharmaceutical services from premises situated at 81 St George’s Road, Charing Cross, Glasgow, G3 6JA. under Regulation 5(2) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended.

The Committee had to determine whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the applicant’s proposed premises were located.

The Committee, having previously been circulated with all the papers regarding the application from Rajindar Singh, were satisfied that the application could be determined based on the written representations and
that an oral hearing was not required.

**LXV.** The Committee members had individually made site visits to the site at 81 St George's Road, Charing Cross, Glasgow, G3 6JA. The Committee noted that there had been two previous applications for this area considered by the PPC on 4 April 1990 and 2 June 1999. Both applications were rejected.

**LXVI.** The Committee considered views and representations received from:

a) Chemist Contractors within the vicinity of the applicant’s premises, namely;
   i) Martin Phypers, Senior Development Manager, Moss Pharmacy, Fern House, 53 – 55 High Street, Feltham, Middlesex, TW13 4HU;
   ii) Joyce Morrison, Director, Joyce Morrison Pharmacy, 1278 Argyle Street, Glasgow, G3 8AA;
   iii) Jim Rae, Munro Pharmacy, 182 Main Street, Barrhead, Glasgow, G78 1SL;
   iv) Matthew Cox, Lloyds Pharmacy, Sapphire Court, Walsgrave Triangle, Coventry, CV2 2TX;
   v) Charles Tait, Group Pharmacy Manager, Boots the Chemists, 168 – 170 High Street, Ayr, KA7 1PZ.

b) Barbara West, Medical Secretary, Greater Glasgow Area Medical Committee GP Subcommittee, 40 New City Road, Glasgow, G4 9JT.

c) Robert Thomson, Operations Manager, Glasgow City Council, Land Services, Glasgow City Council, Richmond Exchange, 20 Cadogan Street, Glasgow, G2 7AD.

**LXVII.** The Committee also considered:

d) The location of the nearest existing pharmaceutical services;

e) The location and level of general medical services in the area;

f) Demographic information regarding post code sectors G3 6, G2 3 and G4 9;

g) Patterns of public transport and

h) Greater Glasgow NHS Board plans for future development of services.
CONCLUSION

LXVIII. In considering this application, the Committee was required to take into account all relevant factors concerning the definition of the neighbourhood served and adequacy of the existing pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in the context of Regulation 5(10).

LXIX. Members defined the neighbourhood as beginning North West where Park Road meets Great Western Road, along Great Western Road to St George’s Cross and East by the M8 Motorway, and to the South by St Vincent Street/Argyle Street and bordered on the East around Kelvingrove Park onto Park Road.

LXX. The Committee considered that the area was largely residential however were aware that many commuters travel through and work in this area.

LXXI. The Committee then considered the adequacy of existing pharmaceutical services in the defined neighbourhood and whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable in order to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in that neighbourhood. Members considered the Applicant’s response to the written representations received and noted the number of patients in the nearby surgery and the ease of accessibility across the M8 to Sauchiehall Street, which was the main shopping area within the neighbourhood. The Committee did not consider the M8 to be a significant barrier given the ease of access across it.

LXXII. The Committee noted that fourteen pharmacies were located within a one mile radius circle of the proposed premises. Three pharmacies were located within the neighbourhood as defined by the PPC but no pharmacies were located within the postcode sector G3 6.

LXXIII. In summary, the Committee concluded that the application was not necessary or desirable as there existed an adequate pharmaceutical service within the area, with three pharmacies located in the defined neighbourhood and fourteen within a one mile radius. The Committee noted that there had been two previous applications for this area considered by the PPC on 4 April 1990 and 2 June 1999. Both applications were rejected.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes, Colin Fergusson and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED/-

In a unanimous decision, the Committee agreed that the granting of the application was neither necessary or desirable, to secure the adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood of the proposed premises and accordingly that the application seeking inclusion in the Greater Glasgow NHS Board’s Pharmaceutical List at 81 St George’s Road, Charing Cross, Glasgow, G3 6JA for the provision of general pharmaceutical services be refused. The Committee noted there existed an
adequate pharmaceutical service within the area, with three pharmacies located in the defined neighbourhood and fourteen within a one mile radius. The Committee noted that the pharmacies located in the defined neighbourhood offered Supervised Methadone Administration Scheme, Domiciliary Oxygen Therapy and Advice to Nursing Home services and that the overall level of service provided by pharmacies was already adequate. **The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.**

Vi) **Case No: PPC/INCL/06/2004**

Brendan J Semple & James B Semple, 11 Fieldhead Square, Auldhouse, Glasgow, G43 1HL.

**LXXIV.** The Committee was asked to consider an application submitted Brendan J Semple and James B Semple to provide general pharmaceutical services from premises situated at 11 Fieldhead Square, Auldhouse, Glasgow, G43 1HL, under Regulation 5(2) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended.

**LXXV.** The Committee had to determine whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the applicant’s proposed premises were located.

**LXXVI.** The Committee, having previously been circulated with all the papers regarding the application from Brendan J Semple and James B Semple, were satisfied that the application could be determined based on the written representations and that an oral hearing was not required.

**LXXVII.** The Committee members had individually made visits to the site at 11 Fieldhead Square, Auldhouse, Glasgow, G43 1HL.

**LXXVIII.** The Committee considered views and representations received from:

a) Chemist Contractors within the vicinity of the applicant’s premises, namely;

i) Martin Phypers, Senior Development Manager, Moss Pharmacy, Fern House, 53 – 55 High Street, Feltham, Middlesex, TW13 4HU;

ii) David Aitken, Merryvale Pharmacy, 15 Fenwick Road, Giffnock;

iii) Eric M Brown, Director, Catterson Chemist, 25 Shawbridge Arcade, Glasgow, G43 1RT;

iv) John McGeown, Stuart Chemists, 154 Fenwick Road, Glasgow, G46 6XW.

b) Elizabeth Watt, Greater Glasgow NHS Board, Area Pharmaceutical Committee, Dalian House, PO Box 15329, 350 St Vincent Street,
Glasgow, G3 8YZ;

c) Barbara West, Medical Secretary, Greater Glasgow Area Medical Committee GP Subcommittee, 40 New City Road, Glasgow, G4 9JT;

d) Robert Thomson, Roads Operations Manager, Glasgow City Council, Land Services, Richmond Exchange, 20 Cadogan Street, Glasgow, G2 7AD.

LXXIX. The Committee also considered:

e) The location of the nearest existing pharmaceutical services;

f) The location and level of general medical services in the area;

g) Demographic information regarding post code sectors G43 1, G46 7 and G46 6;

h) Patterns of public transport and

i) Primary Care NHS Board plans for future development of services.

CONCLUSION

LXXX. In considering this application, the Committee was required to take into account all relevant factors concerning the definition of the neighbourhood served and adequacy of the existing pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in the context of Regulation 5(10).

LXXXI. Members defined the neighbourhood as the area bound to the North/North West and South/South West by the railway line, East by the Western side of Kilmarnock Road until the North by Nether Auldhouse Road to the roundabout before the railway line boundary on the West.

LXXXII. The Committee felt that the granting of this application would not have a detrimental effect on the other pharmacy contractors in the surrounding area.

LXXXIII. The Committee then considered the adequacy of existing pharmaceutical services in the defined neighbourhood and whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable in order to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in that neighbourhood.

LXXXIV. The Committee noted that whilst two pharmacies were located within postcode areas G43 1. The Committee also noted that no pharmacies were located within the neighbourhood as defined by the PPC. Members considered the Applicant's response to the written representations received and did not consider that the granting of a new pharmaceutical contract
would have a detrimental effect on the other contractors located within the area.

LXXXV. In summary, the Committee concluded that the application was desirable though not necessary as the pharmacy would provide an easily accessible service to the patients within the neighbourhood as defined and would not have a detrimental effect on the other pharmacy contractors within the area. The Committee also felt the application desirable as there were no other pharmacies located within the defined area.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes, Colin Fergusson and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED/

In a majority decision (three votes to one) the Committee agreed that the granting of the application was desirable to secure the adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood of the proposed premises and accordingly that the application seeking inclusion in the Greater Glasgow NHS Board’s Pharmaceutical List at 11 Fieldhead Square, Auldhause, Glasgow, G43 1HL for the provision of general pharmaceutical services be granted. The Committee felt that the granting of this contract was desirable, though not necessary as no other pharmacies exist within the defined neighbourhood. The Committee also felt that the granting of this contract would not have a detrimental effect on the other pharmaceutical contractors in the area.

The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.

vii) Case No: PPC/INCL/07/2004
M & D Green Dispensing Chemist Ltd, 9 Mossvale Crescent, Craigend, Glasgow, G33 5NZ

LXXXVI. The Committee was asked to consider an application submitted by M & D Green Dispensing Chemist Ltd to provide general pharmaceutical services from premises situated 9 Mossvale Crescent, Craigend, Glasgow, G33 5NZ under Regulation 5(2) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended.

LXXXVII. The Committee had to determine whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in which the applicant’s proposed premises were located.

LXXXVIII. The Committee, having previously been circulated with all the papers regarding the application from M & D Green Dispensing Chemist Ltd, were satisfied that the application could be determined based on the written representations and that an oral hearing was not required.
LXXXIX. The Committee members had individually made site visits to the site at 9 Mossvale Crescent, Craigend, Glasgow, G33 5NZ

XC. The Committee considered views and representations received from:

a. Chemist Contractors within the vicinity of the applicant's premises, namely;

   i) Ian Cowan, Superintendent Pharmacist, L Rowland & Co (Retail) Ltd, Whitehouse Industrial Estate, Rivington Road, Preston Brook, Runcorn, WA7 3DJ;

b. Elizabeth Watt, Greater Glasgow NHS Board, Area Pharmaceutical Committee, Dalian House, PO Box 15329, 350 St Vincent Street, Glasgow, G3 8YZ;

c. Barbara West, Medical Secretary, Greater Glasgow Area Medical Committee GP Subcommittee, 40 New City Road, Glasgow, G4 9JT;

d. Danny Crawford, Greater Glasgow Health Council, 44 Florence Street, Glasgow, G5 0YZ;

e. Robert J Thomson, Roads Operations Manager, Glasgow City Council, Land Services, Richmond Exchange, 20 Cadogan Street, Glasgow, G2 7AD.

The Committee considered views and representations received from the following applicant who is currently included on the provisional List for premises situated at 11 Mossvale Crescent:

f) Neeraj Salwan, 52 Speirs Road, Bearsden, Glasgow, G61 2LU

XCI. The Committee also considered:

   g) The location of the nearest existing pharmaceutical services;

   h) The location and level of general medical services in the area;

   i) Demographic information regarding post code sectors G33 5 and G33 3;

   j) Patterns of public transport and

   k) Greater Glasgow NHS Board plans for future development of services.

CONCLUSION

XCII. In considering this application, the Committee was required to take into account all relevant factors concerning the definition of the neighbourhood
served and adequacy of the existing pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood in the context of Regulation 5(10).

XCIII. Members defined the neighbourhood to constitute the area bound to the South by the M8 Motorway following West onto Stepps Road and Avenue End. Turning off before the A80 and along the farm track at the playing fields past Frankfield Loch and bound by the farm track leading to Blackfaulds Farm and onto Garthamlock Road.

XCIV. The Committee then considered the adequacy of existing pharmaceutical services in the defined neighbourhood and whether the granting of the application was necessary or desirable in order to secure adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in that neighbourhood.

XCV. Members noted that an application for a new pharmaceutical contract had been granted by the PPC on 20th November 2003, to Mr Neeraj Salwan at 11 Mossvale Crescent, Garthamlock, Glasgow, G33 5NZ and the applicant’s name was currently held on the Board’s provisional pharmaceutical list.

XCVI. In summary, the Committee concluded that the application was not necessary or desirable as a pharmaceutical contract had been granted in November 2003 and was currently held on the Board’s Provisional List for premises situated at 11 Mossvale Crescent.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes, Colin Fergusson and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED/-

In a unanimous decision, the Committee agreed that the granting of the application was neither necessary or desirable, to secure the adequate provision of pharmaceutical services in the neighbourhood of the proposed premises and accordingly that the application seeking inclusion in the Primary Care NHS Board’s Pharmaceutical List at 9 Mossvale Crescent, Garthamlock, Glasgow, G33 5NZ for the provision of general pharmaceutical services be refused as a pharmaceutical contract had been granted in November 2003 and was being currently held on the Board’s Provisional List for premises situated at 11 Mossvale Crescent.

The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.

Section 2 – Other Matters for the Committee’s Consideration

5. MINOR RELOCATIONS

i) Case No: PPC/RELOC/02/2004
Sanjay Majhu, Apple Pharmacy, 1009 Cathcart Road, Glasgow, G42 9XJ to 1000 Cathcart Road, Glasgow, G42
The Committee having previously been circulated with Paper 2004/11 noted the contents, which gave details of the above application to be considered by the Committee. The application concerned the minor relocation of a general practice pharmacy from 1009 Cathcart Road, Glasgow, G42 9XJ to 1000 Cathcart Road, Glasgow, G42 9XL.

The Committee were advised that during the consultation exercise carried out by the Board, the Director of Pharmacy had visited the location in question and considered the application fulfilled the criteria detailed in the Pharmacy Regulations for a minor relocation.

The Director of Pharmacy considered that the same population would continue to be served as the proposed premises were only 20 m across Cathcart Road and advised the Committee that the premises would be newly equipped and would continue to provide the existing range of services.

The Director of Pharmacy also advised the Committee that the Applicant’s current premises were below-standard and could not be developed. He considered that the new pharmacy would provide access for disabled patients. The Applicant had detailed plans for the site and overall, the new premises would offer a better service to the public.

Greater Glasgow Area Pharmaceutical Committee General Practitioner Subcommittee felt that as the Applicant’s proposed premises were across the main road from the existing premises and that the relocation could be seen as an attempt to achieve a more desirable alignment with the nearest GP surgery.

The GP Sub-Committee felt that the granting of this application would serve a different population and the viability of other contractors may be affected. Accordingly, they did not consider that this application met the criteria for a minor relocation.

The Committee felt that patients would have to cross a main road to gain access to the new premises however, this road was easily accessible to pedestrians.

CONCLUSION

Members of the PPC discussed the Application and whether they felt the population of the neighbourhood served by the new location was essentially the same as that served in the existing location, and if the NHS services would be the same. Members agreed that the relocation of premises was over a short distance and would in fact serve the same population.

They also considered if other pharmaceutical contractors in the neighbourhood would suffer significant detriment to an extent which would
prejudice their continuing ability to provide the NHS services they are contracted to provide. They did not agree that the relocation would cause any appreciable effect to the service provided by the applicant or by any other person currently included in the Pharmaceutical List.

The Committee felt that the application satisfied the criteria of a minor relocation and that there was no significant change in the neighbourhood in which services were to be provided.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes, Colin Fergusson and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED/-

That the application satisfied the criteria for a minor relocation and accordingly that the application for relocation to premises situated at 1000 Cathcart Road, Glasgow, G42 9XL be granted.

The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.

6. APPLICATION TO AMEND HOURS OF SERVICE

Case No: PPC/ALT01/2004
Govanhill Health Centre Pharmacy Ltd, 233 Calder Street, Glasgow, G42 7DR.

The Committee were asked to consider an application submitted by Mr Thomas Cunningham, seeking an alteration to the hours of service recorded in the Pharmaceutical List for the pharmacy situated at the above address.

In considering the application in accordance with Regulation 8(3) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended, the Committee had to determine whether the alteration of hours would affect the adequacy of services in the neighbourhood in which the premises were located.

CONCLUSION

The Committee noted that the Applicant sought to reduce his current hours of service by closing on Saturdays. This proposal, if granted, would result in the contractor providing hours of service outwith the current Model Hours of Service Scheme.

The Committee noted that the applicant’s proposal was to close the pharmacy completely on Saturday each week leaving the pharmacy open on Monday to Friday from 9.00 a.m. to 6.00 p.m. The Committee noted that the reason for the applicant’s request was the GP practice within the
Health Centre would cease to open on Saturday mornings with effect from 1 July and as a result the pharmacy would no longer have access to the premises on Saturday morning.

The Committee were advised that after discussing the proposal from Govanhill Pharmacy Ltd, the Area Medical Committee took no exceptions to the proposal.

The Committee felt that the application to reduce hours of service be granted as the applicant would be unable to gain access to the Health Centre in which the pharmacy was located.

In accordance with the statutory procedure the Chemist Contractor members of the Committee Gordon Dykes and Alasdair MacIntyre were excluded from the decision process.

DECIDED/-

That the Applicants request to provide a level of service outwith that stipulated by the newly revised Model Hours of Service Scheme be granted.

The chemist contractor members of the Committee rejoined the meeting at this stage.

7. MATTERS CONSIDERED BY THE CHAIRMAN SINCE THE LAST MEETING

The Committee having previously been circulated with Paper 2004/12 and 2004/14 noted the contents, which gave details of applications considered by the Chairman outwith the meeting since Thursday 22 January 2004.

MINOR RELOCATION OF EXISTING PHARMACEUTICAL SERVICES

i) Case No: PPC/RELOC/05/2004

National Co-operative Chemists Ltd, 1020 Shettleston Road, Glasgow, G32 7XW to 1158 Shettleston Road, Glasgow, G32 7PQ.

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairperson on an application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing contract held by National Co-operative Chemists Ltd at the above address.

The Committee noted that the Greater Glasgow Area Pharmaceutical General Practitioner Sub-committee and the Director of Pharmacy both considered that the application did not fulfil the criteria of a minor relocation. On this advice, the Chairperson agreed that the application could not be granted.

DECIDED/-
That the Chairman’s action in rejecting the application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing Contract held by National Co-operative Chemists Ltd be homologated.

ii) Case No: PPC/RELOC/06/2004

E Moss Ltd, 59A Main Street, Cambuslang, Glasgow, G72 7HB to 25 Main Street, Cambuslang, Glasgow, G72 7HB.

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairperson on an application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing contract held by E Moss Ltd at the above address.

The Committee noted that the Greater Glasgow Area Pharmaceutical General Practitioner Sub-committee and the Director of Pharmacy both considered that the application fulfilled the criteria of a minor relocation. On this advice, the Chairperson agreed that the application could be granted.

DECIDED/-

That the Chairman’s action in granting the application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing Contract held by E Moss Ltd be homologated.

iii) Case No: PPC/RELOC/03/2004

E Moss Ltd, 1033 Shettleston Road, Glasgow, G32 7PB to 1041 Shettleston Road, Glasgow, G32 7PB.

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairperson on an application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing contract held by E Moss Ltd at the above address.

The Committee noted that the Greater Glasgow Area Pharmaceutical General Practitioner Sub-committee and the Director of Pharmacy both considered that the application fulfilled the criteria of a minor relocation. On this advice, the Chairperson agreed that the application could be granted.

DECIDED/-

That the Chairman’s action in granting the application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing Contract held by E Moss Ltd be homologated.

iv) Case No: PPC/RELOC/04/2004

John Gilbride, Gilbride Chemists, 35 Glenmore Avenue, Glasgow, G42 0EH to adjacent premises, Glenmore Avenue.

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairperson on an application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing contract held by
John Gilbride at the above address.

The Committee noted that the Greater Glasgow Area Pharmaceutical General Practitioner Sub-committee and the Director of Pharmacy both considered that the application fulfilled the criteria of a minor relocation. On this advice, the Chairperson agreed that the application could be granted.

**DECIDED/-**

That the Chairman’s action in granting the application for the minor relocation of the NHS Dispensing Contract held by John Gilbride be homologated.

**TRANSFER OF NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE DISPENSING CONTRACT WHERE A CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP HAS TAKEN PLACE**

v) **Case No: PPC/COO/01/2004**  
Woods Pharmacy, 59 Liddesdale Square, Glasgow, G22 7BT

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairman on application for the transfer on NHS Dispensing Contract previously held by Mr John Wood, at the above address.

The Committee noted that the Chairman had granted the application with effect from 1 March 2004, having been satisfied that the application fulfilled the requirements laid down in the Pharmaceutical Regulations. The new pharmacy contractor was Denis Houlihan and the trading name was now Liddesdale Pharmacy.

vi) **Case No: PPC/COO/02/2004**  
Bellahouston Pharmacy, 456 Paisley Road West, Glasgow, G51 1PX.

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairman on application for the transfer on NHS Dispensing Contract previously held by Mr Gavin McLaren, at the above address.

The Committee noted that the Chairman had granted the application with effect from 1 April 2004, having been satisfied that the application fulfilled the requirements laid down in the Pharmaceutical Regulations. The new pharmacy contractor was L Rowland & Co (Retail) Ltd and the trading name was unchanged.

vii) **Case No: PPC/COO/03/2004**  
Crookfur Pharmacy, 198B Harvie Avenue, Glasgow, G77 6UT.

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairman on application for the transfer on NHS Dispensing Contract previously held by Mr Gavin McLaren, at the above address.
The Committee noted that the Chairman had granted the application with effect from 1 April 2004, having been satisfied that the application fulfilled the requirements laid down in the Pharmaceutical Regulations. The new pharmacy contractor was L Rowland & Co (Retail) Ltd and the trading name was unchanged.

DECIDED

That the Chairperson’s action in the above applications in accordance with Regulations 5(3) and 5(b) of the National Health Service (General Pharmaceutical Services) (Scotland) Regulations 1995 as amended be homologated.

8. OTHER BUSINESS

i) Case No: PPC/INCL/05/2003

Boots the Chemist, Queen Street Station, Dundas Street, Glasgow, G1 2AF.

The Committee were advised that the contract granted by the PPC at it’s meeting on 20 November 2003 had commenced on 26 April 2004.

Transfer of National Health Service Dispensing Contract Where a Change of Ownership Has Taken Place

ii) Case No: PPC/COO/04/2004

Townhead Pharmacy, 31 Townhead, Kirkintilloch, Glasgow, G66 1NG.

The Committee considered the action taken by the Chairman on application for the transfer on NHS Dispensing Contract previously held by Rihana Abid, at the above address.

The Committee noted that the Chairman had granted the application with effect from 1 June 2004, having been satisfied that the application fulfilled the requirements laid down in the Pharmaceutical Regulations. The new pharmacy contractor was Sinclair Shops Ltd and the trading name was Sinclair Pharmacy.

9. NEXT MEETING

Scheduled for Tuesday 3 August 2004 at 1.30 p.m., the Boardroom, Divisional Headquarters, Gartnavel Royal Hospital.

The Meeting ended at 3.00 p.m.